## Introduction

Methods

Trimmings from potentially infected landscape plants are brought to local composting facilities on a daily basis. In order to prevent the spread of sudden oak death, we must be sure that subsequent movement of the completed compost will not spread the disease. We present data suggesting that the composting process effectively sanitizes green-waste, and recommend composting as a Responsible disposal method available to those generating this waste.

3 Infected stems of

10 Infected coast live

oak\* wood chips (in bag)

10 Infected bay laurel\*\*

Digital temperature data

These assemblies were

coast live oak\*

leaves (in bag)

logger

1 & 2).

alone (marked O),

# CHONE, Chiller Chane; 000000 AT CER ON THE S

\* Coast live oak: Quercus agrifolia \*\* Bay laurel: Umbellularia californica

#### After treatment, infected substrate was Plated onto selective media, or baited to Pears, and then plated onto media



## **Composting as a Sanitation Measure for Green Waste Infected with Phytophthora**

## ramorum

Steven Swain<sup>1</sup>, Tami Harnik<sup>1</sup>, Monica Mejia-Chang<sup>1</sup>, Katherine Hayden<sup>1</sup>, Will Bakx<sup>2</sup>, Jeff Creque<sup>3</sup>, Matteo Garbelotto<sup>1</sup>

1, Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management University of California, Berkeley 2, Sonoma Compost, Rohnert Park, 3 McEvoy Ranch, Bolinas

## Results

#### Our results showed composting to be a viable method for sanitization of waste infected with Phytophthora ramorum

#### Turned Windrow and Oven Results by Site

	Site	n	Pre	% Pre	SE	Post	% Post	SE	Delta mean	SEdiff	99% CI for 0
	В	8	66/180	37%	3.85%	0/180	0%	0.00%	-37%	3.85%	0 +/- 13%
302	Μ	8	61/180	34%	4.49%	0/180	0%	0.00%	-34%	4.49%	0 +/- 16%
100	С	8	63/180	35%	3.08%	50/180	28%	1.38%	-7%	3.38%	0 +/- 11%
	0	8	52/168	31%	4.03%	0/168	0%	0.00%	-31%	4.03%	0 +/- 14%

#### Forced Air Static Pile Results

placed into two turned		n	Pre	%Pre	SE	Post	%Post	SE	delta mean	SEdiff	99% CI for 0
windrow compost piles		8	125/184	68%	4.45%	0/184	0%	0.00%	-68%	4.45%	0 +/- 16%
(marked M & B) and two	2	4	63/92	68%	5.95%	0/184	0%	0.00%	-68%	5.95%	0 +/- 35%
Forced air piles (marked	С	8	123/184	67%	2.40%	92/184	50%	7.79%	-17%	8.15%	0 +/- 27%

#### Inoculation Success and Survival by Substrate

Controls (marked C)		inoculation ouccess and outwide by oubstrate															C
		Pre treatment scores					treatmen	t scores		Control scores							ł
	Substrate	Ν	% Pre	SD	Range	Ν	% Post	Range	Pear	N	% Control	SD	Range	Pear	% Pear	Range p	l r
to evaluate the	Leaves	36	55%	25.98	10-100	36	0	0-0	0	16	46.88%	21.13	0-80	10	28%	0-100	
effectiveness of heat	Stems	34	87%	11.09	33-100	34	0	0-0	0	16	87.50%	10.83	50-100	2	6%	0-50	1
alone (marked $\Omega$ )	Chips	36	31%	33.56	0-90	36	0	0-0	0	16	35.63%	33.72	0-100	0	0%	0-0	]†



#### Forced Air Static Pile Composting Results

Average Composting Temperatures



### Discussion

Infected material was

subjected to 55 Deg.

C for two weeks

The difference between our confidence levels for zero (BCI. MCI. etc.) and our treatment effect (B, M, O, etc.) clearly shows a treatment effect, regardless of location or composting technique. The results are similar for the oven study, suggesting that time and temperature alone (without the aid of microbial competition, antagonism, or antibiotics formed in the compost) is enough to eliminate P. ramorum from highly infected tissue.

P. ramorum was isolated both through direct plating (% control) and through pear baiting (Pear. %Pear). P. ramorum survived well on leaves under most conditions, but was only able to persist on woody substrates under cool, moist, conditions (Survival by Substrate).

Treatment at 131 deg F for two weeks (temp graph) is an effective means to eliminate P. ramorum. This direct process type of experiment is useful to prove and/or optimize the composting process, but structured as a direct process evaluation (as here), it does not necessarily translate into a definitive test. Spot test analysis is a better tool (Christensen et al, 2002). To this end, we have conducted a case study showing composting holds great promise. Out of ten vards of highly infected material, not a single sample contained *P. ramorum*, in spite of the fact that we used five different sampling methods, and took 680 samples.

## Conclusion

Inoculation Success and Survival by Substrate These studies suggest that proper composting presents a minimal risk of Spreading disease by use or transport of cured compost

## If green-waste looks Infected, don't dump it, compost it!

CI: These numbers (and bars on graph) represent the 99% probability range that a given mean is actually equal to zero Delta mean: These numbers (and bars on graph) represent the actual difference between treatment values and zero

